

# Water Consumption Testing and Analysis

AABC Europe Mainz, 1/30/2017

Dirk Uwe Sauer, Jonathan Wirth

Chair for Electrochemical Energy Conversion and Storage Systems





#### **Motivation**

- CO<sub>2</sub> reduction in automotive applications needed
- Carbon-enhanced batteries (EFB+C) show high potentials for higher dynamic charge acceptance
- Water consumption in hot climate is still an important issue
- How do parasitic reactions in batteries with carbon additives compare to those in common batteries in dynamic microcycles?

#### Motivation

- Dynamic overcharge
  - Measurement
  - $\hfill\square$  Drive cycle
  - Results
  - $\hfill\square$  Discussion
- Summary & outlook



## **Measurement methodology**

Example for steady-state overcharge measurement (70 Ah EFB+C)





#### **Measurement methodology**

- Gas flow measurement with electronic gas analysis device (eGAS)
  - hydrogen-proof flexible connecting tube
  - □ gas is dried by silica gel
  - $\hfill\square$  2 flow sensors for high and low gassing rates
  - □ hydrogen and oxygen concentration sensors
- Weekly weight loss and internal ac resistance (Hioki) measurements

● (a) SLI	1 type
🔶 (b) EFB	1 type
🔺 (c) EFB+C	10 types
📕 (d) AGM	3 types



Ford Research Center, Aachen



#### **Steady-state overcharge: Test sequence**



- Initial cycles (1/2)
   RC, CHA 15.4 V/24 h
   CCA SAE, CHA 15.4 V/16 h
- 24 h overcharge at 14.1 V/52 °C (cf. BCIS-04)
- 11 to 13 d overcharge
  - at 14.4 V/60 °C (cf. EN 50342-1)
  - ➔ extrapolation to 42 d



#### Steady-state overcharge: Test sequence with further overcharge for 18 hours





H2 + O2 in %

#### Steady-state overcharge: Test sequence with further overcharge for 18 hours





H2 + O2 in %

## Charging during dynamic microcycles: Test definition







## Charging during dynamic microcycles: Test definition

- Drive cycle with stop/start
  - 6 trips/day with 10...80 min, 4 h/day in total
     (3.5 h charging time incl. regenerative braking)
  - □ 5 driving days, 2 days week-end
  - external resistance as quiescent load (0.8 %C<sub>n</sub>/day)
  - $\hfill\square$  3.5 h charging with two different strategies:
    - conventional charging with 13.75 V
    - float charging with 13.2 V
    - 10% regenerative braking always 13.75 V
- Temperature profile
  - Tests performed in automated climate chamber
     Ambient 75/30 °C day/night cycle





## Charging during dynamic microcycles (EFB+C): Exemplary results with different gassing behavior



Power Electronics

and Electrical



## Charging during dynamic microcycles (EFB+C): General observations

- Oxygen and hydrogen concentrations are not static during the day
- Measured concentrations are low-pass filtered by battery headroom volume
   Increasing trend of H<sub>2</sub> indicates that almost exclusively H<sub>2</sub> is being formed
- Depending on charging strategy (conventional/float charging), concentrations vary significantly
- Differences might be explained with different polarization of electrodes due to different design or composition

Exemplary results with different gassing behavior





## Charging during dynamic microcycles: Hydrogen evolution vs. overcharge current

 Effective overcharge current during Partial SoC (PSOC) microcycle operation calculated from daily charge balance:

 $\sum Q_{charged} - \sum Q_{discharged}$ 

- Electrolysis of water only partly accounts for overcharge current
  - Unknown side reaction(s) taking place:
     Oxygen recombination cycle?
  - □ Side reaction(s) higher for AGM batteries





#### H<sub>2</sub> evolution vs. overcharge currents

#### **Results: Gassing during steady-state overcharge**



#### Sanity check for eGAS

14

- Vapor extraction effect: 15 %vol 

  23 %wt
- Weight loss and extracted gas volume correlate well





#### H<sub>2</sub> gas flow vs. electric current

Electrolysis of water only partly accounts for overcharge current  $\rightarrow$  other side reaction(s)?



## **Results: Gassing during steady-state overcharge**



#### **Corrosion analysis**

- Subtract Faradaic equivalents of H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> gas flows
- Can be explained with positive grid corrosion

#### Oxygen recombination cycle?

 Flooded & EFB, independent of battery design, carbon, voltage, temperature



## Charging during dynamic microcycles: Test results – Comparison to steady-state overcharge

- Water loss in dynamic microcycles shows lower variation than in steady-state overcharge
- Higher water loss in dynamic microcycles test, despite the lower voltages applied (13.75 vs. 14.4 V)
  - □ Values are normalized to charging time → water loss also occurs during pauses, e.g. due to self discharge
- Most of EFB+C show very similar results in dynamic overcharge test, but vary by a factor of 5 in static overcharge test
  - Which result does reflect real-life behavior better?
  - How sensible are the results to assumptions made?





## **Summary and outlook**

- Realistic dynamic microcycles lead to significantly different gassing behavior compared with steady-state overcharging tests
- Very weak correlation between water consumption in dynamic vs. steady-state condition
- Influences of different parameters have to be analyzed:
  - □ Higher or lower loads
  - □ Voltage control, steepness of voltage changes
  - Alternator strategy
- Which high-temperature durability tests can improve correlation, yet be kept simple?

#### → New test procedures are urgently needed for realistic estimation of water loss



# Thank you for your attention

#### Contact

Dirk Uwe Sauer Tel.: +49 241 80-96977 dsa@isea.rwth-aachen.de batteries@isea.rwth-aachen.de

Chair for Electrochemical Energy Conversion and Storage Systems Univ.-Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Dirk Uwe Sauer RWTH Aachen University

Jaegerstrasse 17/19 52066 Aachen GERMANY

www.isea.rwth-aachen.de





We thank









# Water Consumption Testing and Analysis

AABC Europe Mainz, 1/30/2017

Dirk Uwe Sauer, Jonathan Wirth

Chair for Electrochemical Energy Conversion and Storage Systems



